

## On Farm Testing (Discipline–Wise Summary)

Discipline	Crop/ enterprise	No. of Technology/ Social Concept		No. of trials		% of achieveme nt
		Assessed	Refined	Target	Achievement	
Horticulture	Tomato	1	-	6	6	100
	Frenchbeans	1	-	6	6	100
PBG	Fieldpea	1	-	6	6	100
	Soybean	1	-	6	6	100
Fishery	Fish	1	-	5	5	100
	Fish	1	-	5	5	100
Plant Protection	Papaya	1	-	5	5	100
	Kiwifruit	1	-	5	5	100
Animal Science	Poultry	1	-	5	5	100
Agri. extension	Cabbage	1	-	50 respondents	50 respondents	100
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>		<b>49 trials &amp; 50 respondents</b>	<b>49 trials &amp; 50 respondents</b>	

# OFT Horticulture: Performance of Tomato Var. Arka Abhed- 1<sup>st</sup> yr.

Crop / Enterprise	Major problem diagnosed	Technology details	No. of trials	Area	Villages
Tomato	Low yield of existing variety	<p><b>TO1: Var. - Arka Abhed</b> Dur- 140-150 days Yield potential – 700-750 q/ha. Fruit size: 90-100 gm.</p> <p><b>TO2: Var.- Arka Rakshak</b> Dur- 140 days, Yield potential- 750-800 q/ha. Fruit size: 90-100 gm</p> <p><b>TO3: Var.- Amitabh Chai Tai co.</b> Dur.- 160-165 days ,Yield potential – 450-500 q/ha. Fruit size- 65-70 gm</p>	6	1 ha	Molhoi, Karong

**SOT: IIHR, 2017**



Parameters on Assessment	Results/ observation on selected parameters			Net return (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)
	TO1	TO2	TO3		
i. Yield	410 q/ha	402.5 q/ha	262.5 q/ha	<b>TO1: 410900</b>	<b>TO1:3.88:1</b>
ii. Duration	145 days	142 days	165 days	TO2: 400775	TO2: 3.81:1
iii. Fruit size	90gm	90gm	65 gm	TO3: 235975	TO3: 2.99:1

# OFT Horticulture: Performance of Frenchbean var. Arka Anoop - 1<sup>st</sup> yr.

Crop / Enterprise	Major problem diagnosed	Technology details	No. of trials	Area (ha)	Villages
Frenchbean	Low yield of existing variety	<b>TO1: Var. - Arka Anoop</b> , Duration- 70 -75 days Yield potential -200 q/ha. <b>TO2: Var.- Arka Arjun</b> Duration- 70 days Yield potential- 170q/ha. <b>TO3: Var.- Local improved</b> Duration- 80-85 days Yield potential – 80q/ha.	6	1ha	Taphou Phyamai & Makhan

**SOT: IIHR, 2018**



Parameters on Assessment	Result/ observation on selected parameters			Net return (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)
	TO1	TO2	TO3		
i. Duration	72 days	73 days	85 days	<b>TO1: 131150</b>	<b>TO1: 3.09:1</b>
ii. Yield	161.5q/ha	142.5q/ha	60.6q/ha	TO2: 108350 TO3: 32100	TO2: 2.72:1 TO3: 1.79:1

# OFT PBG: Performance of Soyabean var. MACS 1460 -1<sup>st</sup> year

Crop / Enterprise	Major problem diagnosed	Technology details	No. of trials	Area (ha)	Villages
Soybean	Low yield of existing variety	<b>TO1: Var. : MACS 1460</b> Duration- 100 days, Potential yield = 20-25q/ha <b>TO2: Var.: DSB-19,</b> Duration- 100-110days, Potential yield = 19 -20q/ha <b>TO3: Var.: JS-335,</b> Duration- 95-100 days, Potential yield = 15 -20q/ha	6	1ha	Santolabari, New Saikul

**SOT:**  
**Agharkar Research Institute, Pune-2017**



Parameters on Assessment	Results/ observation on selected parameters			Net return (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)
	TO1	TO2	TO3		
i. Plant height (cm)	46.8	56.24 cm	55.6	<b>TO1: 46800</b>	<b>TO1: 1.72:1</b>
ii. No. of pods/plant	83.2	62.76	60.3	TO2: 43760	TO2: 1.66:1
iii. Yield	16.63 q/ha	16.12 q/ha	12.54 q/ha	TO3: 32660	TO3: 1.41:1

## OFT PBG: Performance of Fieldpea Var. VL Matar 47 -2<sup>nd</sup> year

Crop / Enterprise	Major problem diagnosed	Technology details	No. of trials	Area (ha)	Villages
Fieldpea	Low yield of existing variety	<b>TO1: Var. : VL Matar 47</b> Duration- 150-155 days, Potential yield = 16-17q/ha <b>TO2: Var.: Aman,</b> Duration- 120-125days, Potential yield = 20-25q/ha <b>TO3: Var. : Rachana,</b> Duration- 94-121 days, Potential yield = 20-21q/ha	6	1ha	Parsain, Toribari

**SOT:**  
**VPKAS-Almora, 2011**



Parameters on Assessment	Result/ observation on selected parameters			Net return (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)
	TO1	TO2	TO3		
1.Plant height (at 30 days)	96.4	97.2	98.5	TO1-38180	TO1-1.85:1
2.No. of seeds/pod	15.8	15.2	15.7	TO2-34680	TO2-1.78:1
3.Yield	12.6q/ha	11.3q/ha	10.2q/ha	TO3-33280	TO3: 1.74:1

# OFT PP: Management of root rot disease of Papaya - 1<sup>st</sup> year

Crop	Major problem diagnosed	Technology details	No. of trials	Area (ha)	Villages
Papaya	Root rot	<b>TO1:</b> i) Soil application of trichoderma viride @2-3g/l water ii) Appln. of Bordeaux mixture 5:5:50 <b>TO2:</b> Appln. of Bordeaux mixture (Copper Sulphate, Lime & Water @5:5:50) <b>TO3:</b> Application of trichoderma viride @2-3g/l water <b>TO4:</b> Application of carbendazim 50WP @ 2gm/lwater ( <b>Farmers' practice</b> )	5	1ha	Siangai, Chongphun

**SOT:**  
 Y.S Parmar University  
 of Horticulture and  
 Forestry, Solan, HP,  
 2016

Parameters on Assessment	Results/ observation on selected parameters				Net return (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)
	TO1	TO2	TO3	TO4		
i. Percent disease incidence	<b>9.2%</b>	13.23%	15.21%	18.43%	<b>TO1-592942</b>	<b>TO1-3.4:1</b>
ii. Yield	<b>420q/ha</b>	390q/ha	370q/ha	380q/ha	TO2-511035 TO3-431667 TO4-443334	TO2- 2.9:1 TO2- 1.7:1 TO4- 2.4:1

# OFT PP: Biological management of crown rot disease in organic kiwi fruit cultivation - 1<sup>st</sup> year

Crop	Major problem diagnosed	Technology details	No. of trials	Area (ha)	Villages
Kiwi fruit	Crown rot	<b>TO1:</b> Application of Trichoderma @5g/L&Application of Annonin extracts @ 2ml/ L. <b>TO2:</b> Application of Trichoderma @5g/L <b>TO3:</b> Application of Annonin extract @ 2ml/L	5	0.5ha	Purul, Oiname

**SOT:**  
**Y.S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Solan, HP, 2016**



Parameters on Assessment	Results/ observation on selected parameters			Net return (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)
	TO1	TO2	TO3		
i. Percent disease incidence	8%	14%	19%	T01:680000 T02:620000	3.4:1 3.2:1
ii. Yield	80q/ha	75q/ha	73q/ha	T03:596000	3.1:1

# OFT Fisheries: Performance of monosex Tilapia under monoculture system-2<sup>nd</sup> yr

Crop / Enterprise	Major problem diagnosed	Technology details	No. of trials	Area	Villages
Fishery	Low diversification of rapid growing cultured fish species	<p><b>TO1: Monoculture of Tilapia</b>                      Stocking density: 20,000/ ha; Feeding rate: 3-5% body weight ; Feed : Pellet feed ; Culture period : 6 months</p> <p><b>TO2: TO1: Monoculture of C. Carp</b>                      Stocking density: 20,000/ ha; Feeding rate: 3-5% body weight; Feed : Pellet feed; Culture period : 6 months</p>	5	0.5 ha	Hengbung, saikul

**SOT:**  
**CIFA, 2015**



Parameters on Assessment	Results/ observation on selected parameters				Net return (Rs/ha.)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)
	TO1		TO2			
i. Growth rate	<p><b>Average weight :</b>                      Stocking : 5 gm                      3 month = 180.6 gm                      6 months = 410 gm</p>	<p><b>Average length:</b>                      Stocking : 2 cm                      3 months= 8.5 cm                      6 months = 14.8 cm</p>	<p><b>Average weight :</b>                      Stocking : 5 gm                      3 months : 150.6 gm                      6 month = 320 gm</p>	<p><b>Average length</b>                      Stocking : 2 cm                      3 months = 8 cm                      6 month = 12.9 cm</p>	<p><b>TO1=357130</b>                       TO2= 254100</p>	<p><b>TO1: 2.4:1</b>                       TO2: 1.7:1</p>
ii. Yield	<b>Yield= 3210 kg/ha.</b>		<b>Yield = 2113 kg /ha</b>			



# OFT Fisheries: Assessment on economic profitability of different stocking and harvesting strategies in composite fish culture- 1<sup>st</sup> yr.

Enterprise	Major problem diagnosed	Technology details	No. of trials	Area (ha)	Villages
Fishery	Less economic return due to unscientific stocking and harvesting strategy followed by fish farmers in the district (80 %)	<b>TO1:</b> SSSH (8000 nos. /ha. 1 stocking and 1 harvesting) Duration: 12 months <b>TO2:</b> SSMH (24000 nos./ha. 1 stocking and 3 harvesting) Duration: 12 months <b>TO3:</b> MSMH (24000 nos./ha. 3 stocking and 3 harvesting) Duration: 12 months	5	0.5ha	Leilon, T. Khullen

**SOT:**  
ICAR, Tripura Centre, 2015



Parameters on Assessment	Results/ observation on selected parameters			Net return (Rs/ha)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)
	TO1	TO2	TO3		
i. Average length and weight during each stocking and harvesting	<b>Average weight and length</b> <b>At stocking :</b> L= 5cm, W= 3 gm <b>At harvest (12 months):</b> L= 12 cm, W= 420 gm	<b>Average length and weight</b> <b>At stocking :</b> L= 5cm, W= 3 gm <b>At harvest:</b> 1 <sup>st</sup> harvest (4 months) L= 8 cm , W= 90 gm, Yield = 576 kg 2 <sup>nd</sup> harvest ( 8 months) L= 14 cm , W= 210 gm, Yield = 1228 kg 3 <sup>rd</sup> harvest ( 12 months) L= 17 cm , W= 280 gm, Yield = 1435 kg <b>Total yield = 3239 kg/ ha.</b>	<b>Average length and weight</b> <b>At 1<sup>st</sup> Stocking :</b> L= 5cm, W= 3 gm At 1 <sup>st</sup> harvest (6 months ) L= 14 cm, W= 250 gm , Yield: 1560 kg/ha <b>At 2<sup>nd</sup> Stocking (12 months):</b> L=7 cm, W=8 gm 2 <sup>nd</sup> harvest (12 months) L= 9 cm, W= 230 gm ,Yield = 1461 kg/ha <b>Total yield = 1710 kg/ha</b>	TO1= 451910  TO2= 573240  TO3= 277500	TO1=2.7  TO2=3.25  TO3=2.3
ii. BCR	Yield: 2640 kg/ha.				

# OFT Animal Sc.: Performance of Srinidhi for Egg Production- 2<sup>nd</sup> yr

Crop / Enterprise	Major problem diagnosed	Technology details	No. of trials	No. of units	Villages	Net return (Rs/Unit)	B:C Ratio (GR/GC)
Poultry	Low egg production of local/desi	TO1: Srinidhi poultry (multi-coloured and good egg production)	5	5 (30 birds per unit)	T. Aimol & Sadu Chiru villages	TO1=15115.00	1:2.11
		TO2: Vanaraja				TO2=13755.00	1:1.83
		TO3: Local/desi poultry				TO2=5110.00	1:1.29



## Results of Parameters

Technology	Nos. of eggs per year
TO1	131
TO2	112
TO3	61



**OFT Agri. Extn.: Impact study of off-season cultivation of cabbage under FLD during last 3 years -1<sup>st</sup> yr**

Crop	Technology/ methodology/ Social Concept	No. of respondents	Name of the village	Parameters on Assessment	Results on parameters	% increase over farmer practice
Cabbage	Interview method	50	Makuilongdi and Chawangkinig Village	i. Income (NR) ii. Yield	i. Rs.182550/ha ii. 224.6q/ha	32%